

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_82267
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Instructional module on knowledge And practice related to use of personal protective Equipment for prevention of Covid-19 infection among Student of selected nursing college of Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract must be concise with only relevant contents.</b> <b>Mention the objectives of the study in the introduction</b> <b>Measures used and techniques of data collection are missing, link is not able to open <a href="https://openwho.org/courses/IPC-SP-PPE-EN">https://openwho.org/courses/IPC-SP-PPE-EN</a>.</b> So describe in details the tool and its validity and reliability. Results session are not formatted properly, need to edit the tables well, both the paired t test and chi-square. Include a sound discussion with thorough literature review Include limitations of the study Conclusion should be concise with 2 sentences of significant findings only.  <b>“Need to write in details the design of the study and discussion, taken in consideration of the statistical values to be included in the result session”</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Styles of writing the research articles should be followed especially statistical findings.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study design is weak, Need a thorough revisions, and modification of the overall contents, Tools and technique and regarding the delivery of the interventions, contents of the interventions, duration of the study , very weak design Findings of the study include the change of knowledge in all the domains of the PPE, Analysis in parts and sub themes, Discussion part missing Conclusion and abstract should rewrite concisely	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sinu Jose
Department, University & Country	Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, India