

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_77774
Title of the Manuscript:	Granisetron versus Ondansetron for Prevention of Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV) in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Abstract	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Always write the complete words before using abbreviations when they appear first time in text. In the abstract the grammar is completely off. The text has no coherence and the results are not clear. Please rewrite the abstract. No mention of ethical committee approval. In the methods section exclusion criteria is missing. No mention of hoe the sample size of 100 arrived at. Is convenient sampling method? Please clarify What method of randomization used. Discussion is written very haphazardly. Please mention the strengths, limitations and scope of further work in this particular field. Also, mention the effect of laparoscopic surgery on emesis. There are a lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper needs major revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are a lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazia Nazir
Department, University & Country	Government Institute of Medical Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, India