Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIMPS_88909**
Title of the Manuscript:	**“MODIFIABLE HYPERKALEMIA WITH TIMELY RECOGNITION”- BETA BLOCKER INDUCED**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty’**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	01 "In medline citations only 2 cases were reported as metoprolol induced hyperkalemia in diabetes patients without renal insufficiency." Medline is not the only available electronic database. It may happen that there are more cases reported in published articles in journals that are not indexed in Medline. 02 The case description has to be reported in past tense instead of present tense. 03 The manuscript needs a serious revision of English. 04 There are some sentences in the text without reference to a previous study (or studies) in order to give evidence to their statements. Without references, these statements would be mere assumptions or allegations by the authors of the manuscript. Therefore, each of the following sentences need at least one reference to back up their statement: "The incidence of hyperkalemia is increasing in the day today clinical practice." "The most important cause in india is diabetes with renal insufficiency." "Other causes are due to increased potassium supplements, drug induced hyperkalemia (preferably drug to drug interactions and drug induced itself)." 05 The Discussion consists of a patchwork of sentences from the literature without an actual discussion of the case presented, namely, a discussion is inexistent. 06 "Patients with renal insufficiency and diabetes have more incidence of hyperkalemia." This is not a conclusion of your case. The authors presented one case only. Conclude based on your case. This is not a literature review manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bruno Chrcanovic**
Department, University & Country	**Malmö University, Sweden**