

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_77262
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT & VALIDATION OF RP-HPLC METHOD FOR THE ESTIMATION OF DORAVIRINE IN BULK AND PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Line no. 7, change to reversed phase- Line no. 10, add a concentration of potassium di-hydrogen phosphate- Line no. 37, change to reversed phase- Line no. 39, add the guideline reference- Line no. 54, add a concentration of potassium di-hydrogen phosphate- Lines no. 61, 66, 69, and 70, add the diluent composition.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Line no. 79, change analysis to injections or determinations- Line no. 107, authors state in robustness study that they tested changing flow rate and detection wavelength and only flow rate and temperature (that is not said) variation tested.- Line no. 117, Average is misspelled- Line no. 122, add the concentration of used buffer- Lines no. 126-127, you are testing only one peak- Line no. 143, stability-indicating ability of the method not tested	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A standard chromatogram is only included in the manuscript. A chromatogram of the pharmaceutical product will be supportive- Authors should state the merit of their method over others	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sebaey Mahgoub
Department, University & Country	Children's Cancer Hospital, Egypt