

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_87361
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-demographics, social support and adherence: a cross-sectional descriptive perspective of users of antiretroviral therapy in a Nigerian secondary health facility.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<u>01</u> There are some sentences in the text without reference to a previous study (or studies) in order to give evidence to their statements. Without references, these statements would be mere assumptions or allegations by the author of the thesis. Therefore, each of the following sentences need at least one reference to back up their statement: "The LGA has a projected population of 111,822 persons." "Nonetheless, stigmatization. discrimination and rejection by the populace and fear of losing out of potential intimate relationships among PLWHA proffer explanation to this." "The literature suggests and links this scenario to poor management of stigmatization, discrimination and rejection resulting from inefficient social support systems." <u>02</u> What is the meaning of "LGA"? Please define the acronym. <u>03</u> "The Government in collaboration with relevant stakeholders should via shared responsibility, policies and legislation, strengthen the capacity of the health system to boost social support, improve on and sustain the overall adherence" This is not a conclusion of your study. This is an opinion from the authors, and should be in the Discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. R. Chrcanovic
Department, University & Country	Malmö University, Sweden