

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_86255
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on dental practice and the acceptance and attitude of Indian Dental Professionals towards COVID-19 vaccine – A Cross-Sectional observational Survey.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>THERE IS NO CORRELATION BETWEEN OBJECTIVE , METHODOLOGY AND CONCLUSION.</p> <p>Most of Data Collected is irrelevant to objective like demography and professional question.</p> <p>Except one question in last table none of the questions shows any relevance to conclusion or to show any correlation between objective, results and conclusion</p> <p>Introduction shows general information from literature known to all, but fail to give rationale or justification of conducting this survey and reason that how it will affect existing knowledge, practice and trends.</p> <p>No study design is given or duration of study given</p> <p>How questionnaire designed – needs to give brief details or if adopted give ref</p> <p>How validity and reliability of tool ensure- not given</p> <p>How this data collected and patients were included in study – give inclusion/exclusion criteria</p> <p>Results: majority of given results are out of relevance and beyond scope of objctive</p> <p>Discussion is weakest part of this manuscript. It is not in correlation with results or objective – it should be focused on objective only</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Needs sentences revision, grammar check at places</p> <p>At places in introduction/discussion the sentences are not coherent with each other and poor sentence construction is noted</p> <p>Lot of repetitions</p> <p>Lot of unnecessary details beyond the scope of objective were noted – needs revision</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Al-Nafees Medical College, Isra University, Pakistan