## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_84745
Title of the Manuscript:	Covid-19 vaccine uptake and its determinants among health care workers in Somali region of Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write higher feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION Comments	Covid-19 vaccine uptake and its determinants among health care workers in Somali region of Ethiopia	
	Thanks so much for your efforts. The article is interesting, but I have some comments if you wouldn't mind:  Abstract:	
	- Study design: this is an analytical cross-sectional study not a descriptive one, please correct	
	- Duration: Do you have just one month to conduct this study?	
	- Methods: please correct the word immunization to immunization	
	- Results: rewrite in a good way and change p =0.0001 to <0.001, p=0.00039 to <0.001. (AOR = 2.32, 95% CI: 0.99–5.48), p=0.045 revise as OR is non-significant.	
	- Conclusion: The study identified key determinants (mention these determinants)	
	Introduction:  - Healthcare workers are at least three times higher risk of COVID-19 infection with the risk of transmitting to others in the work environment and communities: Mention the reference	
	Methods: - analytical cross-sectional study not a descriptive and justify a one-month duration	
	study?	
	- Sample size: Revise as based on your inputs, p=22.5%, ME=0.05, Ci95% you need	
	265 participants, how you reach 440 and why they become 427	
	Results:	
	Table 1:	
	- Marital status: please classify to single and married only	
	- Profession: what do you mean by support staff, you may add a classification of first line	
	defense HCWs and others	
	Table 5:	
	- please add all details of vaccinated and non-vaccinated regarding all determinants like	
	no,%, X <sup>2</sup>	
	- on what base you choose your reference like married, support staff?	
	Discussion: Rewrite in more logic sequence. You may get benefit from this reference:	
	https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/global-literature-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-	
	ncov/resource/pt/covidwho-1226124	
	Language: the article is strongly in need to be edited,	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Zeinab Kasemy	
Department, University & Country	Menoufia University, Egypt	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)