Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRID_82910**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in hospitalized cancer patients in an Amazon region: a cross-sectional study with data from epidemiological surveillance**
Type of the Article	**Original research papers:**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy)

<mark>PART 1:</mark> Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I found the article well-written and cohesive. I was happy to read about the results and conclusions and am glad to recommend this for publication after only some minor revisions**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Title and abstract	The title is very informative and some errors were detected in the abstract, the abstract should have a short introduction	
Introduction (Is the problem / objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	The somewhat complete, well-substantiated and up-to-date introduction, which provided important information More than 75% of the references are up to date, all less than 5 years old.	
Materials & methods (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	I consider that this section is well structured and includes all the parameters that should be included in it.	
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses	1. The data seems solid and takes a very serious look at the results of Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in hospitalized cancer patients in an Amazon region: a cross-sectional study with data from epidemiological surveillance, so I think it should be available and known to the world at large. the medical community for its extension. 2. The discussion is well structured, and a good comparison was made with other similar national and international studies.	

been carried out?)		
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	The conclusions section is correct and based on the results obtained during the research, as well as being very explicit and informative for the rest of the international medical community.	
References : Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	The references are up to date, they must be limited according to the international norms of Vancouver	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Carlos Antonio Sánchez Portela**
Department, University & Country	**University of Medical Sciences of Pinar of Río, Cuba**