

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_87411
Title of the Manuscript:	Karyological Studies and Chromosomal Analysis of Fifteen Accessions of Trichosanthes cucumerina L. (Snake Gourd).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Title: The title is concise and clearly presentedAbstract: The abstract is adequately addressedIntroduction: The introduction is informativeMaterials and Methods: The Methods section is adequately.Results: The results are clearly presented.Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed.The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented.Conclusions: The conclusion is very clearContribution to the literature: The author should provide key points and the contribution of current study to literature.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Figures & Tables: Figure titles should be more specific. They are essential and clearly presented.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It is well-written and reasonable, and it represents a useful contribution to the Asian Journal of Research in Botany. If there are no competing interests to declare, authors should include a statement to the article to confirm that there are no relevant financial or non-financial competing interests to report This work does not have any ethical difficulties.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	Karur Govt Arts College, India