# **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_87414
Title of the Manuscript:	Infliximab in the treatment of severe psoriasis vulgaris patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	The article may be an useful contribution to the journal; however, few changes should be taken into consideration:	
	The novelty of the article is not clear; authors must stress on the novelty their work brings to current knowledge in the field (for	
	example: maybe among first studies among in the geographical region?). To mention, infliximab is already used for many years	
	now in the treatment of psoriasis vulgaris, therefore the degree of novelty is not that high.	
	Also, to mention there is no comparison made to a matched control group whatsoever, and this a limitation of the study, too, as	
	well as a minus compared to pother already existing studies in the field. Maybe a Limitations paragraph could be reasonable to	
	be added to the study.	
	A better methodological approach would have been to use Kaplan-Meier curves to plot the number (proportion) of patients	
	receiving improvement in the disease, according to various points of evaluation/study endpoints (such as PASI 75, PASI 90).	
	Authors are advised to define all abbreviations at first appearance in text; in abstract and also elsewhere.	
	Grammar, spelling and punctuation must also be carefully checked within the entire article (e.g. 'treatment coarse'?)	
Optional/General comments	!! patients approval for images, as well as ethical commission approval should be stated explicitly in the article	

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mircea Tampa
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)