Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCS_86170 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Insecticidal Activity of Five Plant Species on Cowpea Weevil [Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.)] |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory, REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: - there is more than one Font used; - also, more than one colour – black, grey; - a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; rovopositori" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|
| Minor REVISION comments Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: | | | highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: there is more than one Font used; also, more than one colour – black, grey; alignment left or justify? a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: there is more than one Font used; also, more than one colour – black, grey; alignment left or justify? a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: there is more than one Font used; also, more than one colour – black, grey; alignment left or justify? a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| - there is more than one Font used; - also, more than one colour – black, grey; - alignment left or justify? - a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | Minor REVISION comments | | |
| - also, more than one colour – black, grey; - alignment left or justify? - a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | Please pay attention to some aspects regarding the paper aspect: | |
| - alignment left or justify? - a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | - there is more than one Font used; | |
| - a lot of free rows before and after each table. Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| Check the writing, there are some mistakes – "deltamethian" instead of "deltamethrin"; "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| "ovoposition" instead of "oviposition", for example. Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| Scientific names aren't always made Italic. Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| each equation. Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | Scientific names aren t always made Italic. | |
| Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | Use the Equation format from Office Word, it's very helpful. In addition, add numbers for | |
| always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | each equation. | |
| always correctly mentioned in the text – "Busungu et al., 1991" instead of "Busungu and Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | Correct "References" – they are written in different styles, colours etc. Also, they are not | |
| "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | | | |
| | | Mushobozy, 1991"; "Lale, 2003" instead of "Lale and Vidal, 2003". | |
| Optional/General comments | | "Discussion" is replacing the "Conclusions"? | |
| A Land and | Optional/General comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Berca Mihai |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)