Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_84331
Title of the Manuscript:	Malay Language Learning Difficulty by International Community: A case study of postgraduate students of UNISZA and UMT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Abstract	
	Please rewrite your abstract such that it covers the following components:	
	brief problem description retire also (and blaze statement for the start) (response).	
	rationale/problem statement for the study/researchobjective of the study/research	
	method use	
	main findings/results	
	main significance or impact of the study/research	
	Citation	
	Please check your citation format. Why start with [15]?	
	Introduction Please rewrite the first sentence of the introduction.	
	Since this study/research is on the learning difficulty of the Malay language among international postgraduate students in UNISZA and UMT, please include a brief background of their learning difficulty in the Malay language in the Introduction.	
	Related Work	
	malay -> Malay	
	Universities Tenega National (UNITEM) -> Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN)	
	•	
	·	
	Please rewrite your related work.	
	Please standardise the citation format.	
	Methodology Why were only 170 students selected for this study/research? Why not all? Please give the justification.	
	Overall, too many grammatical mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Abstract 1) The word both is unnecessary. 2) Please put spacing in words highlighted in yellow colour. 3) Wordy – Change the majority to most. 4) Please change Quad Core to Quad-Core. 5) Please change eighty five to eighty-five. 6) Please change dis-agreed to disagreed.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teoh yeong kin
Department, University & Country	UiTM Cawangan Perlis Kampus Arau, Malaysia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)