

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_83699
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Paper Mockups for Evaluating Soft Keyboard Layouts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The Paper titled " Using Paper Mockups for Evaluating Soft Keyboard Layouts " is discussed the establishment of paper mockups to test the rate of text entry on soft keyboard layouts and also the efficiency the advantages and shortfalls of the methods of evaluation.</p> <p>I request the authors of this paper to consider the following points to revise your paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Rewrite the abstract for clear understanding.</li><li>2. This paper seems to be lack of novelty. Authors should revise better and come forward with supportive information to upkeep their research paper novelty.</li><li>3. Do more the current literature in the field.</li><li>4. Please check typographical error and English used in your paper is not good enough for publication.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemanand.D
Department, University & Country	Sriram Engineering College, India