

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_81472
Title of the Manuscript:	Design standardized program to calculate the related data from single load on single feeder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction (section 1) should contain state of the art research from the oldest (at least 5 years ago) to the most recent references related to this research. At the end of section 1, the author must present a gap analysis of the problem which is the basis and purpose of the research carried out in this paper.2. Add the state of the art in this introduction (Section 1) to about 20 references.3. Describe in depth 8-10 references in the literature review (Section 2) that are directly related to this research in Section 1.4. The introduction (section 1) in this paper directly related to the proposed model should be included in the research methods-design program (section 3) and Fig 1 also moves to section 3.5. Delete the shadow grid in Fig 1 and Fig 2 was redrawn because it was too blurry.6. Write the first letter in all tables in uppercase.7. Write units in 1st line of table 5 in italic mode using microsoft equation.8. Explain in a single paragraph at the end of the conclusion (section 4) the weaknesses of this research and future work that is recommended by the researcher to improve it.9. Conclusion analysis is also carried out in quantitative (not just qualitative) models to show readers that your research model and method has more advantages than previous research.10. Write the title of the paper before the references link i.e. refs 2 and 6 are linked in brackets and written when the journal access date is in the link. International journals should not use link-based references but must use references based on the latest journals or proceedings to maintain the actuality and originality of the paper.11. Add references to a total of at least 20 journal/proceeding papers to improve the quality of research results. Ten references are too small used at the international journal level.	
Minor REVISION comments	The reviewer has been describe fully in compulsory REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	Accepted with MAJOR Revision	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amirullah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya, Indonesia