Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_79063
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on state-of-the-art Violence Detection Techniques
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	The english writing is as year for everyle in Abetract.	
	The english writing is so poor. For example in Abstract:	
	In this paper, different techniques of detecting such activities from the video that been	
	proposed in recent years. This paper will present the detecting method into three categories.	
	Again, Dataset & Video features that help in the recognition process are also presented.	
	Again, Dataset & video reatures that help in the recognition process are also presented.	
	Table 1 headers are so ambiguous, I have never seen machine learning or	
	computer vision as a Feature!!!	
	The state of the art is not acceptable. At least more than 80% of references should	
	be published after 2019.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The references numbering should be sorted.	
	The subsection numbering is false (47 sections in section 211)	
	The subsection numbering is false. (17 sections in section 2!!)	
Optional/General comments		
<u>Optionali Commonte</u>		
	I	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vahid Hajihashemi
Department, University & Country	Porto university, Portugal

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)