Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCOS_76163**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Low cost ML based collaborative approach for baby monitoring**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Citations must be checked properly.** 2. **Related work is poorly written. It seems as written in chunks where work by different researchers does not have relation. Authors are advised to present the related work so as to maintain a flow of evolutions. Authors can also present the same in form of a table so as to present the comparative analysis.** 3. **Research gap should be identified.** 4. **Heading of section 3 can be Research contribution as background has already been discussed.** 5. **Research contribution of the authors must be presented in form of a bulleted list.** 6. **The results and findings must be presented as a separate section.** 7. **Fig. 4 seems to be directly taken from some source. Authors are advised to ensure that it does not violate any copyright.**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. There are formatting discrepancies at some instances. 2. Authors must carefully proofread the paper so as to avoid any grammatical mistakes. 3. The figures, when referred in the text during callout should not be bold. It should be in the running text.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Monika Mangla***
Department, University & Country	***Lokmanya Tilak College of Engineering, India***