Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCD_84235**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Sodium Glucose Co-Transporter-2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure Patients**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijrrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Dear author please** **1. In the abstract section, give a little more explanation about the purpose of the study** **2. Study Design and Place and Duration of the Study are parts of the method, there is no need to separate these two parts. Please correct it. For example; this study is Prospective observational that done at Department of Cardiology, Princess Esra Hospital, Telangana, Hyderabad, from August 2020 to January 2022…** **3. Please write the code of ethics of this study in the method section** **4. Tables should be placed in the results section, not in the discussion section** **5. In Tables 2 and 3, specify the time period before and after the variable was recorded** **6. This part in the discussion "In this study a total of 100 patients admitted in the cardiology department of the hospital during the duration of 6 months i.e. from August 2020 to January 2021 were assessed. Out of which 11 subjects were excluded from the study due to incomplete data and 5 were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Hence 84 patients who met the inclusion criteria were followed up for 1 year and were included in the study, these HF patients were then categorised into two groups…" is replaced to the beginning of the result** **7. The discussion of an article should explain the results obtained and in it should avoid giving numbers and figures and P-Valuo (because they are mentioned in the results section). He also reviewed and compared the results of the study with the results of other researchers. Please observe this and correct that.** **8. The conclusion should include the main purpose of your study (what you mentioned in the title). Avoid giving additional explanations and re-describing the work.** **9. Research limitations should be at the end of the study**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is suggested that Table 5 be given in the form of a comparison chart for a better and faster understanding of the reader	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Motahareh Ghodrati**
Department, University & Country	**Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran**