Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_83778
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the quality of fast pyrolysis bio-oil (liquid fuel) using thermal distillation method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Communication DEVICION communication		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: 1) CO ₂ - subscript; 2) separate numeric value from unit; 3) replace "calorific" by "heating; 4) use keywords different of the paper title to enlarge the research by paper; Introduction: 1) Some sentences are very long and no references; 2) the reference [12] is out of order, obey the numerical sequence; 3) observe the excess of commas throughout the text. Methodology: 1) In section 2.1, add a scheme, diagram, flowchart or figure to better describe what was presented; 2) In section 2.3.1, exchange "elemental" by "ultimate".; 3) ASTM standards (ASTM D5373-02) need to be add in references; 4) SO ₂ , NO ₂ , H ₂ O, CO ₂ and SO ₂ — subscript; 5) In section 2.3.2, exchange "mins" by "min"; Results and Discussion: 1) Explain better in terms of percentage values, why of the differences between this study and reference [9]; 2) Explain better the differences in pH; 3) Why the differences between the contents of oxygen of the distilled bio-oil and pyrolysis bio-oil?; 4) Explain better the differences in density for the two bio-oil; 5) Add the GC-MS spectra of the bio-oils; 6) standardize the same names in Tables 1 and 2;	
Minor REVISION comments	Conclusions: 1) The conclusion is good, simples and direct; References: 1) observe the correct form for the references and citations, following the journal template; 2) note that some references are incomplete; 3) consider adding the following reference to this paper: Biofuels from oilseed fruits using different thermochemical processes: Opportunities and challenges. Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining (2020); 14(3):696-719. doi: 10.1002/bbb.2089.	
Optional/General comments	The paper brings relevant information for the field, but some adjustments need to be made.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Glauber Cruz
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Maranhão (UFMA), Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)