Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_79964
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION AND PARTIAL PURIFICATION OF THAUMATIN FROM Arils of Thaumatococcus daniellii FRUIT.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	The keywords are to be reviewed Intrduction: - Develop the study of the effect of thaumatin on diabetes - In the introduction it is necessary to add the use of enzymes to eliminate the gel Are there several types of thaumatin? - Discuss the use of genetic engineering - Protein crystallization studies.	
	Materials and Methods	
	RESULT AND DISCUSSION -Table 1: at 80 °C and pH 3.0: X=98.00 or 9.80? -figure 4.7 or figure 1? -Table 4.1 or table 1 -In paragraph 3.2: The calculated values for protein in distilled water or in Dilute Sodium Chloride? -The presence of NaCl increases the extraction of thaumatin, have tested other salts? - There is no discussion in paragraph 3.3: the author explained the method and not the result Bibliographic research is very limited!	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Sassi
Department, University & Country	University of Tiaret, Algeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)