

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_85634
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILISATION AND REGISTRATION ASSESSMENT STATUS OF CHICKEN GENETIC RESOURCES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. In the first paragraph of "Introduction" section the authors present some important data for poultry industry in Nigeria. However, the references used are old (two, three or four years ago). Since these information justifies the study, it is essential to use current references. Please, update the references.</p> <p>2. The methodology used was a questionnaire application. However, important information about the questionnaire are lack: how the questionnaire was applicated? Through interviews? How many questions were present? The questions were objective or discursive? Please, provide complete information about your methodology.</p> <p>3. Is it possible to do statically analysis to reinforce your results? If it yes, add these analysis in your text.</p> <p>4. The authors provide separate analysis for male and female DOC merchants. What is the real objective of the work doing this separate analysis? What is the real significance of these sex-disaggregated analysis in terms of chicken genetic resource utilization in Nigeria? What conclusions can the authors draw from these analysis by sex? Please, make it clear in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Please, add numbers on pages and/or lines.</p> <p>2. The text font in "Competing of interests disclaimer" is different from the other sections of the text. Please, correct it.</p> <p>3. The refences in "References" section are not standardized. Some references appear all in capital letters (e.g., FAOSTAT), whereas others just the first letter appear in capital letter (e.g., Heinke).</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anelise dos Santos Mendonça Soares
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology, Brazil