

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_84581
Title of the Manuscript:	Gonadal and Extra-gonadal Sperm Characteristics of Rabbit Bucks Treated With Aqueous Solution of Baobab (Adansonia digitata L.) Fruit Pulp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Accepted with major revision</b>  <b>Comments of the Reviewer:</b> 1- Discussion is not enough 2- Results and Discussion should be separated from each other 3- References should be updated 4- To the Author: Please explain briefly the method of sperm collection with a REFERENCE????? . Why you do not use artificial vagina for semen collection????? 5- IS THIS CORRECT? A smear of the samples was made by cutting the left testis in the equatorial region and rubbing the cut tissue on a clear glass slide 6- Question: Is collection of sperms from cuda epididymis correct or not?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In Abstract: 1- It <b>was</b> changed into <b>it is</b> 2- solution <b>could</b> changed into <b>can</b> In material and methods: 1-Twenty-five (25) <b>grower</b> changed into <b>growing</b> 2 The diet for <b>growing</b> ducks 3- <b>Metablzable</b> changed into <b>Metabolizable</b> 4- <b>Epidydimis</b> changed into <b>epididymis</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mostafa Abbas Shalaby
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt