

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_87539
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum Lipid Profile in Newborns with Intrauterine Growth Retardation and its Comparison with Appropriate for Gestation Age Newborns
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Addition of theory or research results should consider the year of publication (using a minimum of 5 years of reference sources from 2018)2. It is necessary to apply for ethical permission to the ethics committee so that there is evidence that the research conducted does not violate ethics and avoids conflicts of interest because the research uses newborn subjects (humans) and is a vulnerable age group and to avoid conflicts of interest so it is necessary to pay attention to aspects of research ethics .	
Minor REVISION comments	In the discussion or discussion section, it is necessary to explain one by one from the research results obtained and use new references (at least 5 years from 2018).	
Optional/General comments	<p>In general it is good but it needs an ethical test and it is written where to do the ethical test with a certificate number.</p> <p>It is suspected that there is a problem of interest because the data obtained can produce a product</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wijayanti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kusuma Husada Surakarta, Indonesia