

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_82959
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Breastfeeding and its practice at Sabrata area – Libya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: References need to be mentioned when definitions and numerical data are presented to ensure credibility of information.</p> <p>'Objectives' mentioned in the abstract & the study and 'Purpose of Study' mentioned in the introduction do not match.</p> <p>Objectives can be put together in a better way considering the data analysed & presented in results.</p> <p>Table2: feeding obstacles that faced mothers in the first 24 hours. – Numbers should be provided along with the percentages.</p> <p>Table3: Sources of breastfeeding knowledge. – some percentage calculations are incorrect (Social media)</p> <p>Data expressed in Graphs should also be expressed as table, to give better overview to the readers.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Authors can strengthen their paper by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract can be structured better.2. Grammatical errors need to be corrected. <p>Technical standards of the methods used by the authors are sound. Data is well controlled and robust. Relevant and current references have been provided during discussion. Some revisions can help strengthening the paper and help convey the message authors have intended to in a more effective way.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Support from the infant's father through active participation in the breastfeeding decision, together with a positive attitude and knowledge about the benefits of breastfeeding, has been shown to have (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259690798_Home_breastfeeding_support_by_health_professionals_Findings_of_a_randomized_controlled_trial_in_a_population_of_Italian_women/)</p> <p>It is easily absorbed, has a low solute load, and an increased availability of minerals, vitamins, and proteins. (https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/10/1/48/htm#:~:text=Breast%20milk%20is%20the%20normal,%2C%20and%20proteins%20%5B1%5D.)</p> <p>The initiative is a global effort to implement practices that protect, promote and support breastfeeding. Since its launching BFHI has grown, with more than 152 countries around the world implementing the initiative. (https://apps.who.int/nutrition/topics/bfhi/en/index.html/)</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sachin Paprikar
Department, University & Country	Late Baliram Kashyap Memorial Government Medical College, India