

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_82959
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Breastfeeding and its practice at Sabrata area – Libya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None. This is an interesting study. Well done!	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please provide reference(s) for the sentences on row 35-38 (Section 2, 2nd paragraph, 3rd and 4th sentences). 2. It will be interesting to know if the study centre (Sabrata Teaching Hospital) has been adopting the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiatives by the time the study was done. Please include it in the introduction section. 3. On row 43-45 you stated the health impact of the Initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour of birth. Please include in the introduction how breastfeeding initiation in the first 24 hours would benefit the health of the infants. 4. Please correct the typo error on row 58, "law rate of breastfeeding in our society", did you mean "low rate..."? Similar typo error on row 282, "breastfeeding correct duration was considerably law". 5. Please consider rephrasing the sentence on Rows 171-173 for better clarity. 6. On Row 181, you stated that 12 women (46.7%) had "other reasons" on why they preferred bottle feeding. As this percentage is rather high (almost half of the studied population), naming some of the reasons (if the list is too long, only name those reasons which were most-frequently reported) will give a better clarity to the content and an interesting insight for future research. 7. Please consider rephrasing the sentence on Rows 201-202 for clarity. 8. Table 5 contains some abbreviations which have not been explained previously, i.e. LBW, NBW. Please spell them out on the first occasion. 9. On Row 238-240 you described the 2 categories of number of children in the mothers with EBF plan, followed by a p-value taken from Table 6 which indicated a statistical testing among the 3 different feeding plans and 3 categories of number of children. Please consider rephrasing the sentence to avoid any misinterpretation that the p-value quoted was comparing the only 2 categories of number of children (2-4 children and >4 children) within the EBF group only (not the other feeding plans). 10. On Row 333-334 you stated that "While in our study the husband had no role about infant feeding." Please include this into the result section. 	
Optional/General comments	Minor spell checks are required	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antoinette Winokan
Department, University & Country	Danone Nutricia Research, Singapore