

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_82285
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of women's knowledge about different aspects of RH (antenatal and FP)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><u>The title</u> does not include the location of the study and specific target population</p> <p><u>The abstract</u> does not give a background on what the study entails. Does not say which specific clinics (OPD or Antenatal or family planning clinics) participants were recruited from</p> <p>Problem analysis from <u>the introduction</u> does not link well with the aim of the study and the rationale did not come out clearly.</p> <p>It is unclear whether the attitude of providers were sought because it is stated in the Aim statement but not the title and not in the results</p> <p><u>In the methods</u>, the study design, sampling method were <u>not described</u>. Outcome Variables being studied were not clearly defined</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>There are some few punctuations and construction of the sentences that would have to be corrected</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The paper does not state whether ethical approval was given by any ethical review board before the study and whether participants consent were taken	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulina Clara Appiah
Department, University & Country	Ghana