

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_78448
Title of the Manuscript:	“AN EXPLORATORY STUDY TO IDENTIFY THE FACTORS AFFECTING BREASTFEEDING PRACTICES AMONG MOTHERS WITH CAESAREAN SECTION DELIVERY IN SELECTED MATERNITY HOSPITALS OF ANAND-KHEDA DISTRICT, GUJARAT.”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is recommended that authors make the title more concise, with a maximum of 20 words.</p> <p>It is suggested "FACTORS AFFECTING BREASTFEEDING PRACTICES AMONG MOTHERS WITH DELIVERY OF CAESARA SECTION IN SELECTED MATERNITY HOSPITALS IN ANAND-KHEDA DISTRICT, GUJARAT".</p> <p>It is suggested that objective 2 is: to verify the existence of an association between breastfeeding practices and sociodemographic variables.</p> <p>It is recommended that the authors mention the research approach in the abstract, study "Descriptive, exploratory with a quantitative approach."</p> <p>It is highly recommended that authors use valid health science descriptors available in DECS rather than using keywords.</p> <p>It is recommended that authors clearly describe sample N.</p> <p>How the sampling was done, for example: for convenience.</p> <p>What level of trust is adopted.</p> <p>What is the margin of error.</p> <p>It is highly recommended that authors present the results separately from the discussion.</p> <p>It is recommended that the figures and tables are presented in the results, making reference to these data and, after the presentation, are discussed in the light of the relevant literature.</p> <p>It is recommended that authors present the reliability tests and draw their inferences from the findings. In addition to presenting the "p" value and the significance level of the tests in the results section.</p> <p>It is recommended that authors discuss the research results, making comparisons with the relevant literature. It is suggested that they make inferences, bringing convergences, divergences, filled and unfilled gaps, propositions based on the research findings.</p> <p>It is recommended that authors describe the limitations of the study in the concluding section.</p> <p>It is recommended that authors describe at the beginning of the section a conclusion if the study met the established objectives.</p> <p>It is highly recommended that authors review and adjust citations in accordance with journal guidelines, in addition to verifying that all references are cited in the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Not applicable.	
Optional/General comments	Not applicable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maicon De Araujo Nogueira
Department, University & Country	State University Of Pará (Uepa), Brazil