Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPAS_82632**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RESPONSE SURFACE OPTIMIZATION OF DIETARY IRON, CALCIUM AND VITAMIN C IN SOYAMILK FOR COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors copied a lot of unnecessary theory about response surfaces. It was enough that the authors to write down some basic concepts. They do not present the data, it is very important to know them.** **On the other hand, and suddenly, they write the result of the statistical procedure and do not explain the association between the variables of the model and the problem. How to guess who is X1 or X2? The graphics did not explain them and they are piled up.** **I will attach the paper where I pointed out other errors that I found**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Maria Beatriz Bernábe Loranca**
Department, University & Country	**Benemerita Universidad Autonoma De Puebla, Mexico**