Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPAS_76593**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An application of the Growth Model to HIV/AIDS Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy with Coinfections in a District Hospital in Ghana**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: It is not having subsections as described in journal's instructions. Please consider.** **Introduction: The section is not having a well defined research problem and proposed solution the study targets.** **Objective section: This should be omitted and this should be incorporated in abstract.** **Materials & Methods: The referencing in M&M can be reformed as in published articles in AJPAS** **Results: Can be concised if possible.** **Discussion: The references used in discussion are very old, can be substituted if possible.** **References: There is no uniformity in referencing style. References are not showed as per the author's guidelines given for AJPAS.** **In all the text the references are not cited in brackets like (1)... (2) etc**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Abstract: Check spellings, punctuations and spacing.** **Introduction: The paragraphs are not indented. The style of referencing can be reformed.**	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is too long. Please concise it wherever possible	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Prem Prakash Mishra***
Department, University & Country	***Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial Medical College, India***