

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_87647
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of percutaneous “K-wireless” pedicle screw fixation technique
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The introduction needs to be elaborated more on the Impact of using the traditional k wire-based technique of screw Insertion</p> <p>If the authors could use their previous data using k wire Supported insertion practised earlier this could avoid the bias with the subjective expertise of the authors</p> <p>apart from the extracanal insertions did the authors face any pedicle blow out with the current technique</p> <p>did the authors had a need to switch to k-wire based technique in any of the times during the process with k-wireless insertion</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	language editing needs to be done	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sathish Muthu
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India