Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_84540
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLANT REMOVAL: CONSIDERATIONS IN A NIGERIAN TEACHING HOSPITAL SETTING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this paper, 3 cases of pediatric patients are included. In Abstract, this is expressed as "There were 3 Pediatric patients aged 7, 10 and 18 months", but it is judged that there is an error in the indication of age. Correction is required.	
	Keywords are words suggested by "MeSH on Demand" and need to be corrected.	
	In the Introduction, the reference following the sentence "The resulting fractures ~ in the human body, after blood" needs to be modified.	
	In the text of the Results, it is a total of 13 patients. The following sentence states 10 female and 2 males. Correction is required.	
	In Table 2, the sum of the items "Site of implant" is only 10. Correction is required. In the discussion, references following "This is similar to a study done by Haseeb et al [2017]" need to be revised. Correction is required.	
	References need to be modified according to the reference style provided by this journal.	
Minor REVISION comments	Rarely, refractures are experienced after implant removal. If you have experienced any complications related to implant removal, including refracture, please describe them in the discussion section.	
Optional/General comments	Please refer to the following papers. 1) Beate Hanson, Chris van der Werken and Dirk Stengel. "Surgeons' beliefs and perceptions about removal of orthopaedic implants", BMC musculoskeletal disorders, 2008, 9:73. 2) Dagmar Vos, Beate Hansen and Michiel Verhofstad. "Implant removal of osteosynthesis: the Dutch practice. Results of a survey", Journal of Trauma management & outcomes, 2012: 6:6	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yongjin Cho
Department, University & Country	Chosun University Hospital, Republic of Korea

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)