

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_84480
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical and functional outcome of early mobilization in proximal tibial Fracture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled Surgical and functional outcome of early mobilization in proximal tibial fracture, is a good presentation of the influence of early postoperative mobilization applied in different types of tibial fractures.</p> <p>Each section is correctly presented.</p> <p>The Introduction section presents the background of the problem to be researched. In the material and method section, the authors present in detail the physiotherapy methods they applied to the patients included in the study. The presentation is well done both in the text and in the tables.</p> <p>The results are well presented both in the main text and in the tables. Follow-up at 3 and 6 weeks after the start of early recovery revealed that early mobilization after proximal tibial fracture has a positive influence on patients' recovery.</p> <p>The discussion section performs the analysis from the point of view compared to other reports, of the obtained results.</p> <p>Conclusions are in accordance with the results.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript requires minor punctuation and language corrections.</p> <p>In addition, all acronyms must be specified as text.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Florin Gheorghe
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania