Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_82568
Title of the Manuscript:	TIBIAL HEMIMELIA: CHALLENGES IN MANAGEMENT IN A RESOURCE CONSTRAINED REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

 $(\underline{\text{https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy}})$

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
ABSTRACTS I propose to include the objective of the study. For example. " The objective of this work is to evidence the influence of socio-cultural beliefs in the management of cases of Tibial Hemimelia in constrained region"	
The methodology used (sample selection, observation, etc.) must be addressed so that the procedure followed in the observation of the cases is understood and then mentioned, in Results, what was observed. Therefore, a section of METHODOLOGY and RESULTS should be included.	
The photos are good and show what you want to show	
REFERENCES Assess the updating of the references with citations from the last five years.	
	ABSTRACTS I propose to include the objective of the study. For example. " The objective of this work is to evidence the influence of socio-cultural beliefs in the management of cases of Tibial Hemimelia in constrained region" The methodology used (sample selection, observation, etc.) must be addressed so that the procedure followed in the observation of the cases is understood and then mentioned, in Results, what was observed. Therefore, a section of METHODOLOGY and RESULTS should be included. The photos are good and show what you want to show REFERENCES

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, (UAM), México

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)