Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_85288
Title of the Manuscript:	An experimental case study on generation of Bio-energy as clean technology initiative in a Food Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	 i. Some grammar errors, please see them highlighted in yellow in the manuscript. ABSTRACT ii. Ministry is encouraging clean technologies which ministry? Any name to be specific iii. Together with Pyrolysis, AD can be used for efficient BE generationPyrolysis was not used in this study with AD, I suggest that this sentence should be removed. iv of the entire industrial set-up. This is lab-based experiment. It is not an industrial set-up. I suggest that this sentence be rephrased. v. 16L/Kg methane it is difficult to confirm this yield is produced in this lab-based experiment. MATERIALS and METHOD vi. Authors did not specify the quantity of potato used. The set up described in Fig. 4 is a lab demonstration and should not be misconstrued for an industrial set up. vii. It is difficult to get a high volume of gas shown in Fig. 5 from the set up shown in Fig. 4 CONCLUSION viii. Authors did not provide conclusion. Authors are advised to include this. ix. REFERENCE x. Reference 12 is incomplete 	
Optional/General comments	Authors need to address the above issues	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adefarati Oloruntoba	
Department, University & Country	China University of Petroleum Beijing, China	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)