

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_78919
Title of the Manuscript:	TERESTERIAL BACKGROUND IONIZING RADIATION AROUND LEAD/ZINC MINING SITE IN ISHIAGU, EBONYI STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

This work is new and very instructive. the manuscript is clear, clearly comprehensible and understandable in all details.	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>  Corrections are not necessary, the attachment is flawless.	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  The ABSTRACT expresses the essence of the work in brief but very clear sentences in words that are easy to understand for the reader. The manuscript itself offers a clear and clear overview as well as the background of the research can be illuminated. The introduction leads directly to the actual and new problem. In the MATERIALS AND METHODS section, the authors go into detail on the geographic location so that this is clearly disclosed. This is supported by map displays. In the chapter RESULTS AND DISCUSSION the calculation of the dose equivalent rate is presented clearly and comprehensibly and the results are listed in great detail. The outlook and the purpose of the examinations is presented clearly and in great detail.	very good and understandable for the reader	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  unnecessary		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments  unnecessary		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Tom George Manfred de la Rue – Gerlitz</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>The Technological University of Panama, Panama</i></b>