## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_87581
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenolphthalein Indicator in Titrimetric Estimation of Benzoic Acid Solubility and Distribution in Water and Benzene-Buffer Solution
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Minor REVISION comments  1. Introduction section must be written on more quality way, i.e. more up-to-date references addressed. Research gap should be delivered on more clear way with directed necessity for the conducted research work, 2. Conclusion section is missing some perspective related to the future research work, quarrilly main research findings. 3. English language should be carefully checked and carefully check paper for language by the pager, and/or the applicability of your results. Highlight the novelet of your study. Clearly discuss what the previous studies that you are referring to are. What are the Research Gapps Contributions? 5. The English Language of the entire manuscript needs the serious concern. Avoid lumping references. Instead, summarize the main contribution of each referenced paper in a separate sentence, Please carefully go through the entire manuscript. 6. use only 5 turils if you want to get published on international level (avoid "Cal/gm"; "barrieris" etc.) 7. avoid vague phrases like "low-cost", always provide specific numbers 8. make sure the manuscript is not limited to India (do not refer to any local names), think globally 9. deeper review the latest development in biodise-indiary and technology competitories. 11. The process optimization has not done with varied range. Also, the repeatability of the experiments are highly questionabile??? 12. Generally, the manuscript is not dimited to high sprove. All the above comments can be followed and authors can improve the quality of their manuscript so that it would be considered for publication in this renowned journal.		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
1. Introduction section must be written on more quality way, i.e. more up-to-date references addressed. Research gap should be delivered on more clear way with directed necessity for the conducted research work, 2. Conclusion section is missing some perspective related to the future research work, quantify main research findings. 3. English language should be carefully checked and carefully check paper for language typos. 4. Pleases make sure your conclusions' section underscores the scientific value-added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your results. Highlight the novelty of your study. Clearly discuss what the previous studies that you are referring to are. What are the Research Gaps/Contributions? 5. The English Language of the entire manuscript needs the serious concern. Avoid lumping references. Instead, summarize the main contribution of each referenced paper in a separate sentence. Please carefully go through the entire manuscript. 6. use only Sl unites if you want to get published on international level (avoid "Cal/gm"; "barries' etc.) 7. avoid vague phrases like "low-cost", always provide specific numbers 8. make sure the manuscript is not limited to India (do not refer to any local names), think globally 9. deeper review the latest development in biodiesel chemistry and technology 10. do not ignore economic reality, provide financial analysis and comment on cost competitiveness. 11. The process optimization has not done with varied range. Also, the repeatability of the experiments are highly questionable??? 12. Generally, the manuscript is must be improved by native speakers and revise carefully to avoid any mistaken in grammatical errors. 13. Please add error analysis in the Figures.  All the above comments can be followed and authors can improve the quality of their manuscript so that it would be considered for publication in this renowned journal.	Compulsory REVISION comments		,
		references addressed. Research gap should be delivered on more clear way with directed necessity for the conducted research work,  2. Conclusion section is missing some perspective related to the future research work, quantify main research findings.  3. English language should be carefully checked and carefully check paper for language typos.  4. Please make sure your conclusions' section underscores the scientific value-added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your results. Highlight the novelty of your study. Clearly discuss what the previous studies that you are referring to are. What are the Research Gaps/Contributions?  5. The English Language of the entire manuscript needs the serious concern. Avoid lumping references. Instead, summarize the main contribution of each referenced paper in a separate sentence. Please carefully go through the entire manuscript.  6. use only SI units if you want to get published on international level (avoid "Cal/gm"; "barrels" etc.)  7. avoid vague phrases like "low-cost", always provide specific numbers  8. make sure the manuscript is not limited to India (do not refer to any local names), think globally  9. deeper review the latest development in biodiesel chemistry and technology  10. do not ignore economic reality, provide financial analysis and comment on cost competitiveness.  11. The process optimization has not done with varied range. Also, the repeatability of the experiments are highly questionable???  12. Generally, the manuscript is must be improved by native speakers and revise carefully to avoid any mistaken in grammatical errors.  13. Please add error analysis in the Figures.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	M. Naveen Kumar
Department, University & Country	IFET College of Engineering, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)