### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_84330
Title of the Manuscript:	Minerals that make up the montmorillonite group.
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Abstract is too short with just a short statement. Need to add the statement of comparison of minerals with other studies.  Add the objective of the study. Add the application of montrorillonite to the industry. Add a sentence of conclusion and suggestion for future recommendation in the last sentence of abstract. Introduction must be improved. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of using montmorillonite clays based on previous study. Add information about mineralogical history of montmorillonite in terms of its geology, mineralogy and chemical composition based on previous study. Add a paragraph about the justification of the study to increase the efficiency and shelf life of montmorillonite in terms of its application in industry or construction purposes. Results and discussion must be improved. Add elgend below pic chart. The results should be further elaborated and compared with previous research to show how mineralogy of montmorillonite can give benefit in terms of application for economical aspect or industrial purposes. Conclusion can be improved. Describe the main findings of montmorillonite based on the previous study and control of the study of the previous study and control of the previous study.  Add the objective of the study of the previous study and control of the previous study.	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	<ul> <li>Abstract is too short with just a short statement. Need to add the statement of comparison of minerals with other studies.</li> <li>Add the objective of the study.</li> <li>Add the application of montmorillonite to the industry.</li> <li>Add a sentence of conclusion and suggestion for future recommendation in the last sentence of abstract.</li> <li>Introduction must be improved.</li> <li>Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of using montmorillonite clays based on previous study.</li> <li>Add information about mineralogical history of montmorillonite in terms of its geology, mineralogy and chemical composition based on previous study.</li> <li>Add a paragraph about the justification of the study to increase the efficiency and shelf life of montmorillonite in terms of its application in industry or construction purposes.</li> <li>Results and discussion must be improved.</li> <li>Add legend below pie chart.</li> <li>The results should be further elaborated and compared with previous research to show how mineralogy of montmorillonite can give benefit in terms of application for economical aspect or industrial purposes.</li> <li>Conclusion can be improved.</li> <li>Describe the main findings of montmorillonite based on the previous study and current results.</li> <li>References</li> <li>The references are too few. Need to add more relevant and recent references at least 50 to 150 references.</li> <li>Need to add 3 to 5 figures and/or tables in this article.</li> <li>Length of review paper is too short. It should be written between 5000 to 9000 words</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sharifah Nur Munirah Binti Syed Hasan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)