Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice	
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_89228	
	Title of the Manuscript:	MEDICAL STUDENTS' PERSPECTIVE ABOUT INFLUENCING FACTORS OF ANXIETY DURING OBJECTIVE STRUCTURED DESCRIPTIVE STUDY	
	Type of the Article	Short Research Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	1. Abstract: needs improvement (Proofreading, and editing)	
	2. Study aims should be the same in the abstract and the paper, thus proofreading and editing the text for clarity for the readers. Please note that the study has already been conducted	
	3. Statistical analysis can be explained, it is important to show how you measured a significant correlation between test anxiety and self-confidence	
Minor REVISION comments		
	 It is recommended to use the medical terms in addition to general ones were possible (e.g. Tachycardia and rapid heartbeat). Authors' utilized sample of (5 participants) to test the validity and reliability of their questionnaires. It is preferable to explained the type of test used for this purpose Overall organization and scientific writing can be improved 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is man feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hjouj Mohammad
Department, University & Country	Al Quds University, Palestine

ED CLINICAL EXAMINATION: A

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight nandatory that authors should write his/her