Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ AJMPCP_87520**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of musculoskeletal symptoms among computer workers and questionnaire validation: A cross-sectional study from Lahore, Pakistan.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title** In the title should be link to purpose of the study, such as prevalence of MSDs, risk factor and should give specific name of the questionnaire "Maastricht Upper Extremity Questionnaire". **Abstract** - Please recheck the number of sample size in abstract, methodology and result part. - In result parts, please showed $p < 0.01$ instead $p = 0.00$ because it always has the number behind zero. **Introduction** - Please kindly add more detail about prevalence of MSDs among computer workers by literature review, how big of this problem in world wild. - Please recheck in objective of the study and revise to the same objective in an abstract and introduction part. **Materials & methods** - Please clearly identified the task of computer worker because this career has many types of work. - Please add the references on questionnaires which you applied on the study. - Your method accesses the last 12 months of WMSDs but in the result you presented in last 7 days, please clearly identify on this point. Because it represents that you focus on long term exposure or short-term exposure. - It will great if you show the conceptual framework for identify all variables. Reader can easier understand your point. - Please add more detail about data collection when you tested reliability section. **Result** - When you presented the table, it will great if you use the word instead the sentence in your questionnaire. - Please write the result follow your research objective. - It's better if you give the result in body part that they pained. - In table 4, should present the number of participants in each factor that you compared. - In table 6, should present the mean and SD in body posture section. - It's not clear in psychosocial factor or stress of worker, please identified more details. Which parts of your result represented on this point? **Discussion** - Please give more previous study for support your result, step by step through your objective. - Please give the information in associated factors in specially. How many factors that you got? How it effects on their health?	
Minor REVISION comments	- In methodology, please give the detail of statistical analysis. And how you analyse the data. - Please recheck the consistency in every parts.	
Optional/General comments	- It's better if you test the reliability before you used. - Be consider that the propriated is at least 0.7. If yes, please explain how there are competing interest issue and which part is related?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Chatsuda Mata**
Department, University & Country	**Lampang Rajabhat University, Thailand**