

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_84554
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Pharmacist's Role in Medication Error Detection and Management.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Although the study is based on the role of a clinical pharmacist, at the end of this study, the role of the clinical pharmacist should be more elaborated in the context of medication error and detection.2. The abbreviation of a term with its full form should be explained at the beginning of the article once, and then only abbreviation should be used throughout the text such as medication error (ME).3. The article has minor errors of grammar and expression. The problems are found in a few parts of the text. I strongly suggest the author seek assistance from an English proficient person (if possible, a native speaker) to correct this issue.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The contents under the heading of "WHEN CAN A MEDICATION ERROR OCCURS, Risk Factors for Medication Errors, AVOIDING MEDICATION ERRORS, 5 R'S TO PREVENT ME" can be in the tabular or flow chart format.2. In the "Conclusion" paragraph, line 3, 'mediation errors are responsible for One death for every 131 outpatients', all alphabets should be in small letters.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sundus Khalid
Department, University & Country	Bahauddine Zakariya University, Pakistan