

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_77354
Title of the Manuscript:	A QUANTITATIVE ENQUIRY INTO THE PERCEIVED BENEFITS, USER SATISFACTION AND CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is structured according to the requirements for a scientific communication. It has all the necessary sections: abstract, keywords, introduction, material and methods, results, discussion, conclusion and references. Statistical processing of the obtained results were made, which proves the value of the research.</p> <p>This study sought to determine the perceived benefits of the use of the EHR system, deduce the level of satisfaction among health workers with the use of the HER system and to identify the challenges associated with the use of EHR System amongst the health workers.</p> <p>Overall, the study demonstrated that the use of the EHR System had positively influenced healthcare delivery to a large extent in the hospital in Dormaa Ahenkro in the Dormaa Central Municipality in Bono Region of Ghana.</p> <p>Some of these benefits as revealed in this study include: improved upon the overall quality of care; shortened patient waiting time; assisted effectively in the quality of clinical decision; reduced medical/prescription errors; saved or reduced the cost of keeping patient records; easy to retrieve past medical records of patients; and improved upon confidentiality of patient record in the hospital.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There is no any.	
Optional/General comments	There is no any.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danelina Emilova Vacheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Pleven, Bulgaria