

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_86728
Title of the Manuscript:	Medication Reconciliation in Surgical Patient admitted at Tertiary Care Hospital of Northern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Materials and methods <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The methodology must be referenced even if it has been modified.- Use Roman numerals for table numbering.	
Minor REVISION comments	Title RAS Abstract Add the most relevant results. In addition, it is necessary to give in one or two sentences the applications of the results obtained Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Send quotes in square brackets- What is good writing ?- To delete Materials and methods <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The methodology must be referenced even if it has been modified.- Use Roman numerals for table numbering. Results <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The axes (Abscissa ; Ordinates) must be shown and scaled appropriately by indicating the modalities (Workforce; Month) of each axis. You have to review the values on the y-axis. Discussion We need to revisit the discussion. The discussion is too short and does not contain all the parts of a classic discussion of scientific work. If necessary, the discussion should have: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The comparison part of the results obtained with the results of previous studies in order to highlight the convergences and divergences. This therefore requires reference to previous results.- The explanation part of the divergences and convergences using scientific tools.- Partial conclusion on the discussions Conclusion In the conclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Add the applications of the results obtained.- Give the research perspectives related to the results. References Complete proofreading of references is required. Harmonize to adopt a single conventional reference style. Please also complete the missing information in the references such as the years and especially the nature of the references and documents.	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	<p>Discussion</p> <p>We need to revisit the discussion. The discussion is too short and does not contain all the parts of a classic discussion of scientific work. If necessary, the discussion should have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The comparison part of the results obtained with the results of previous studies in order to highlight the convergences and divergences. This therefore requires reference to previous results.- The explanation part of the divergences and convergences using scientific tools.- Partial conclusion on the discussions.	
---------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>No, there is no ethical problem in this work.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilfrid Hinnoutondji Kpetehoto
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin