

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85284
Title of the Manuscript:	Loneliness, Lifestyles, and Lockdowns: Effects on Health
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript deals with a pretty timely topic, but the approach described in the manuscript is flawed.2. The introduction was too focused on loneliness which does not explain the need for the study. Include more literature review on the lifestyle and Covid-19 and the relationship with loneliness in the introduction.3. The author can include the information on how the review process took place, and it can be added<ul style="list-style-type: none">-What are the algorithms/Methodology used for selecting review articles?-Which year of publications has been chosen in which research articles have been chosen?4. The discussion part well focused on various terms such as smoking, obesity, alcohol intake, physical activity, and diet-related to health but not adequately justified in the relationship between loneliness, lifestyle and Covid-19.5. There is a greater chance this review article would provide inaccurate findings and lead to inappropriate judgments if causal attribution is not done systematically.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This review article is well attempted and nicely written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arul Actovin C
Department, University & Country	Pondicherry University, India