

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85106
Title of the Manuscript:	A RETROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY OF PERCUTANEOUS DILATATIONAL TRACHEOSTOMY PERFORMED BY TRAINEES.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Body mass Index is a must comparison, as it access the difficulty in airway and thus the procedure(obese patient,short neck).</li><li>2) All references are very old (1998-2014), should include recent papers</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Age and days on endotracheal tube are hardly matters in accessing feasibility among trainee's vs Consultants.</li><li>2) Whether fiberoptic bronchoscope was used or not for any of the cases .</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saroj Kumar Pattnaik
Department, University & Country	Apollo Hospitals Bhubaneswar, India