

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_84884
Title of the Manuscript:	Accessing Healthcare Services During Lockdown in an African Semi-Urban Community: Influence of the Knowledge of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	In the part Introduction, in the seventh sentence, viewed backwards, the term medical is superfluous, because it is contained in the very word telemedical. Change that. Also, as far as the questionnaire is concerned, the validation of the questionnaire was not performed, or the authors do not mention it in the paper. Only the population was included in the study, but not the health workers, so the claim about the refusal of the health workers to provide them with help is based on the self-reporting of the population, which is part of the study's limitation. I am of the opinion that the conclusion should be changed. Faith and trust in the work of health workers have been shaken due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The results of the study show that it is necessary for policy makers to take measures to improve the availability of health care for the entire population, as well as to restore trust in the work of health workers. I will ask the authors to once again review the citations and make corrections, as well as the doi number for all the papers they have.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The paper deserves to be published with the mentioned corrections.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunčica Ivanović
Department, University & Country	College of Applied Health Sciences Cuprija, Serbia