

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_84867
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY THE VIOLENCE EXPERIENCED BY POSTGRADUATE RESIDENT DOCTORS AND INTERNS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN AURANGABAD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, this is a very interesting manuscript. I applaud your desire and effort to document this important topic. The research and professional community will benefit from your efforts to collect, analyse, and publish your work. The readership will be very interested in the results and suggestions that are presented in the manuscript. The rigor of the write-up needs to match the importance of the topic. Therefore, please consider my suggestions to develop your manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract Section: Consider numbering each aim rather than using commas.</p> <p>Please provide detail to your research design. What is the acronym MGM? Patients & Methods is not a category. What is the reason that Change is capitalized? Is this is the name of a program? Overall, the abstract needs a major revision.</p> <p>Introduction: Check the 800,000 number in the first statement. The commas are out of place. As a reviewer, this made be question the rest of the manuscript. This prompted me to research if the number was 8 million doctors or 800,000. Attention to detail is very important in research.</p> <p>As you revise the manuscript, consider that your readers are from around the world. Terms like “nationwide”, “MGM”, “Aurangabad” etc may need more description for the international audience of readers.</p> <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- “Cross-sectional” does not need to be capitalized.- Where is the questionnaire?- Binary Logistic Regression was mentioned, but this analysis was not reflected in the results section of the study (results/tables) <p>Results: This section could have a table which clearly shows demographics, results per question, etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larry Hill
Department, University & Country	Prairie View A&M University, USA