

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_84840
Title of the Manuscript:	Reference values of transverse foramina: A quantitative Cadaveric Study of the cervical segment of the Nigerian Spine.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Mino revioson This study was a cross sectional survey in which cadavers obtained from the Mortuary unit, Department of anatomy, University of Port Harcourt were used <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Does it mean that skeletons participated in a survey or perhaps cadaveric specimen was dissected and parts of the vertebral column had been evaluated?</li><li>- Its very good that ethical approval was granted. The paper is missing any information if the anatomy head even granted the approval for such a study?</li><li>- Was there any correlation between gender, and specimen estimated age taken onto consideration????</li><li>- Are there any key anatomical landmarks and dimensions which are also quite important?</li></ul>	
Optional/General comments	Interesting, but provides basic information Wouldn't in be better to see if age/gender or skeletal lordosis/kyphosis somehow influence on those foramens or not? What was done with the cadaveric remnants after dissection?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamil Nelke
Department, University & Country	Military Hospital, Poland