

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_84766
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Liver function tests and its correlation with inflammatory markers and severity of disease during COVID-19 second wave in a tertiary care centre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1- Delete “tests” on the title and reformulate as “Assessment of Liver function and its correlation with inflammatory markers and severity of disease during COVID-19 second wave in a tertiary care centre”.</div> <div>2- Kindly replace “liver function test” with “liver function” throughout the manuscript.</div> <div>3- Kindly reference paragraphs 1 and 2.</div> <div>4- Replace “MATERIAL” with “MATERIALS”.</div> <div>5- I regretfully noticed that none of the methodology USED BY THE AUTHORS WAS REFERENCED; Does it means that these are your own methods ? If not, kindly provide a clear description of each of the methods used with a precise reference.</div> <div>6- Kindly provide legend for each figure.</div> <div>7- The authors should improve the quality of images.</div> <div>8- Fan et al reported mean age of 50 years (36-44) among 148 patients...I do not understand the meaning of data in parenthesis.</div> <div>9- In our study, mean age of patients was 47.52 ± 12.06 years in those with normal LFTs and 51.03 ± 13.03 years in those with elevated LFTs. (Table 1). How can the authors present the result with reference to table 1 and do not show it ? It appears far in another part.</div> <div>10- Table 1. Kindly put a 0 where it is missing. Apply throughout the manuscript.</div> <div>11- Provide footnotes for Table 1, also providing the meaning of all the abbreviations.</div> <div>12- Total bilirubin was found to be significantly increased ($P < .001$) in patients with abnormal liver enzyme levels and liver injury as compared to patients with normal liver enzyme levels.⁴ I do not understand why this reference is not in square brackets like the others.</div> <div>13- Kindly provide ethical approval that authorized this study (with its number).</div> <div>14- Kindly update the references. These should not be anterior to 2019.</div>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alian Désiré Afagnigni
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde I, Cameroon