

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_77236
Title of the Manuscript:	Transcatheter Closure of Atrial Septal Defect
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. A. There are a few "Grammatical" mistakes. So, corrections are needed. (Minor).	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>2. A. This "Title" was nearly publicized in several articles; A. (https://citoday.com/articles/2016-mar-apr/transcatheter-closure-of-atrial-septal-defects). B. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25308548/). C. (https://www.karger.com/Article/Fulltext/496348) D. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30305951/) So, the question for the author (s); What are the innovative issues in your Review article? You should differentiate your Review article from the others. (Minor).</p> <p>3. A. Regarding the "Abbreviations" in the "Conclusion" of the "Abstract" and the final "Conclusion" such as "TC, ASD, and ASO" It is more suitable to avoid these "Abbreviations". Please, write the full words. (Minor).</p> <p>3. B. No need for subheadings in the "Abstract" of the Review article such as "Summary". Please, delete it. You can start this conclusion with; "The author (s) concluded that...". (Minor).</p> <p>4. A. The following sentence in the (Introduction); "ASD Closure (The percutaneous transcatheter) has become the preferred management strategy over heart surgery open." is inaccurate and bad-written. Please, change to "The percutaneous transcatheter closure of ASD has become the preferred management strategy over the open-heart surgery". (Minor).</p> <p>5. A. The author (S) should be declared for the "Author Permission for the figures" in the manuscript. (Minor).</p> <p>6. A. There is no correlation between this subheading title "Adjunctive antacids are to be considered" and the text under it. Please, review it. (Minor).</p> <p>7. A. Number of "References" regarding Review articles is a little. Increasing the number according to the Journal guidelines is needed. (Minor).</p>	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain
Department, University & Country	Egypt