

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_85870
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Effectiveness of the WASH Programme on Public Health in Public Schools in Kisumu East Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work portrays important points of public health that should start in schools involving education and health.</p> <p>The author should emphasize the importance of this work, showing that good hygiene avoids spending for the government with resistant microorganisms that are associated with pathologies that arise from this lack of infrastructure, hence the need for potable water and sanitation, such as sewage treatment.</p> <p>As this is a research with a questionnaire involving data with humans, an opinion from an ethics committee is required and this has a free clarification term where each participant signs announcing that their data will be published anonymously for research and this was not presented. in this study.</p> <p>The study says a lot that the professors monitored the research environments, but it does not mention whether these environments were clean, before and after the research and what type of material was used to carry out this cleaning.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It would be interesting to have a program to implement cleaning groups at the school for the bathrooms, keeping an environment as sanitized as possible for each personal use, as well as monitors for mealtimes where it is possible to obtain an adequate hygiene routine not before meals, favoring safety. for safe handling of food without contamination.</p> <p>In the conclusion, the author mentions the pathologies that are developed by lack of cleanliness in the study environment, but does not report whether during the research cases of some of these pathologies were reported, having seen that the environment was quite conducive to their emergence.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Being a public health problem and the school is a very suitable place to start this type of campaign, it would be a good indication of this author to use the study as a basis and to elaborate a textbook and well explanatory to adopt among the community of parents and students. good hygiene practices and personal and food care.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria De Sousa Brito Neta
Department, University & Country	Universidade De Brasilia, Brazil