

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geographical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_84591
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TEMPERATURE CHANGES ON GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN NZOIA RIVER BASIN, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Abstract seems lengthy. It should be in a simple form of results/outcomes. Hence, I request authors to reduce the abstract as per journal policies</li><li>2. Be consistent in mentioning the groundwater of level of Kakamega Tande school as 2011-2016 or 2011-2017 as it differs from text to figures</li><li>3. Sentence seems repeated "In the Nzoia River Basin, groundwater level dynamics are extremely vulnerable to high rains. Groundwater levels in the Nzoia River Basin are extremely vulnerable to high rainfall" (page number 30; line number 2 to 3). Consider changing it.</li><li>4. Cross check and be consistent in citing references style. latest references may be added</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Paper seems good and the passion should be appreciated. The results are correlated. But seems lengthy. Try to simplify the script. (optional)</p> <p>In my opinion, Table 1 and 2 may be deleted since the authors have already mentioned the groundwater level and temperature stations in location map (optional)</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balaji Etikala
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateswara University, India